The intent of this policy is to serve as a guide for the practices and procedures for evaluating lecturers at the California Maritime Academy. This policy does not replace or supersede the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) between the California Faculty Association and the Trustees of the California State University.

This policy shall be made available to each lecturer by his or her department chair no later than fourteen (14) days after the first day of instruction of the academic term (CBA 15.3).

POLICY:

I. Evaluation Periodicity
   A. Lecturers with one-year appointments. Full-time and part-time lecturers with one-year appointments shall be evaluated annually during the spring semester. If applicable, the period of evaluation will include the previous spring semester, cruise, and the fall semester.

   B. Lecturers with three-year appointments. Full-time and part-time lecturers with three-year appointments shall be evaluated at least once during the term of their appointment and may be evaluated more frequently upon request of either the lecturer or the department chair. This evaluation shall occur during the spring semester of the third year of the contract. The period of evaluation will include the previous spring semester, cruise, if applicable, and the fall semester. Additional semesters may be reviewed at the request of the lecturer or the department chair.
C. Lecturers with less than one-year appointments. Full-time and part-time lecturers with appointments for less than a full year may be evaluated upon request of either the lecturer or the department chair.

II. Evaluation Procedure
   A. Full-time lecturers with one-year or three-year appointments. The evaluation of full-time lecturers with one-year appointments or three-year appointments shall include student evaluations of teaching performance, a concurrent evaluation by a department peer review committee and the department chair, and an evaluation by the Academic Dean or appropriate administrator. The evaluation may also include the lecturer’s self-assessment, peer input from faculty not on the peer review committee, classroom visits, evaluation of course material, and a review of the Personnel Action File.

   B. Department peer review committees. When practicable, the department peer review committee shall be identical to the department RTP committee elected by the department in the fall semester of the current academic year. The department chair, however, may not serve on the department peer review committee. If such a committee was not constituted in the fall, or if individuals that served on the department RTP committee must be replaced due to ineligibility or unavailability, the department peer review committee must be formed following the procedures specified in the Policy on Retention, Tenure and Promotion (Senate Policy 526). The committee must be established no later than February 1st.

   C. Part-time lecturers with one-year or three-year appointments. The evaluation of part-time lecturers with one-year appointments or three-year appointments shall include student evaluations of teaching performance, the department chair’s evaluation, and an evaluation by the Academic Dean or appropriate administrator. The evaluation may also include the lecturer’s self-assessment, peer input from faculty not on the peer review committee, classroom visits, evaluation of course material, and a review of the Personnel Action File.

   D. Lecturers with less than one-year appointments. The evaluation of full-time or part-time lecturers with appointments less than one year shall include student evaluations of teaching performance and the department chair’s evaluation. The evaluation may also include the lecturer’s self-assessment, peer input from faculty not on the peer review committee, classroom visits, evaluation of course material, and a review of the Personnel Action File.

III. Timeline for the Evaluation of Full-Time Lecturers with One-Year or Three-Year Appointments
   A. Department peer review committee is formed no later than February 1st.

   B. The lecturer may ask tenured and tenure-track peers in the department to provide written input or reviews on behalf of the lecturer. Such input shall be provided to the
lecturer prior to the first faculty work day after February 14th. The lecturer may or may not choose to submit this material with his/her Self-Assessment.

C. The lecturer submits his/her Self-Assessment (Appendix A), a copy of his/her appointment letter, and any other evaluative material to the department chair no later than the first faculty work day after February 14th. The department chair shall coordinate with the department peer review committee to ensure that the committee has adequate access to the Self-Assessment and any other submitted material.

D. The department chair shall provide a written Evaluation (Appendix B) to the lecturer no later than the first faculty work day after February 28th. The department chair shall provide a copy of the Evaluation, the lecturer’s Self-Assessment, and any other evaluative material submitted by the lecturer to the Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator no later than the first faculty work day after February 28th.

E. The department peer review committee shall provide a written Evaluation (Appendix C) to the lecturer no later than the first faculty work day after February 28th. The committee shall provide a copy of the Evaluation to the department chair and the Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator no later than the first faculty work day after February 28th.

F. The lecturer may respond to or rebut the department chair’s and/or department peer review committee’s Evaluation and shall provide the written response or rebuttal to the committee, the department chair and the Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator no later than the first faculty work day after March 10th.

G. The Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator shall review all evaluation material and any rebuttals or responses provided by the lecturer, the department chair and department peer review committee. The Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator may concur or not concur with the department chair’s and/or department peer review committee’s evaluation. If the Academic Dean or appropriate administrator does not concur with one or both evaluations, he/she must write a separate evaluation. This separate evaluation must be provided to the lecturer, department chair and the committee no later than the first faculty work day after March 17th.

H. The lecturer may respond to or rebut the Academic Dean’s or appropriate academic administrator’s evaluation. This written response or rebuttal shall be provided to the Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator and copies to the department chair no later than the first faculty work day after March 27th.

I. The Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator shall forward all lecturer evaluation documents and any rebuttals or responses to the Human Resources Office no later than the first faculty work day after April 1st.
IV. Timeline for the Evaluation of Part-Time Lecturers with One-Year or Three-Year Appointments

A. The lecturer may ask tenured and tenure-track peers in the department to provide written input or reviews on behalf of the lecturer. Such input shall be provided to the lecturer prior to the first faculty work day after February 14th. The lecturer may or may not choose to submit this material with his/her Self-Assessment.

B. The lecturer submits his/her Self-Assessment (Appendix A), a copy of his/her appointment letter, and any other evaluative material to his/her department chair no later than the first faculty work day after February 14th.

C. The department chair shall provide a written Evaluation (Appendix B) to the lecturer no later than the first faculty work day after February 28th. The department chair shall provide a copy of the Evaluation, the lecturer’s Self-Assessment, and any other evaluative material submitted by the lecturer to the Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator no later than the first faculty work day after February 28th.

D. The lecturer may respond to or rebut the department chair’s Evaluation and shall provide the written response or rebuttal to the department chair and the Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator no later than the first faculty work day after March 10th.

E. The Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator shall review all evaluation material and any rebuttals or responses provided by the lecturer and department chair. The Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator may concur or not concur with the department chair’s evaluation. If the Academic Dean or appropriate administrator does not concur with the department chair’s evaluation, he/she must write a separate evaluation. This separate evaluation must be provided to the lecturer no later than the first faculty work day after March 17th.

F. The lecturer may respond to or rebut the Academic Dean’s or appropriate academic administrator’s evaluation. This written response or rebuttal shall be provided to the Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator and copies to the department chair no later than the first faculty work day after March 27th.

G. The Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator shall forward all lecturer evaluation documents and any rebuttals or responses to the Human Resources Office no later than the first faculty work day after April 1st.
V. Timeline for the Evaluation of Full-Time and Part-Time Lecturers with Appointments for Less than One Year
   A. The lecturer may ask tenured and tenure-track peers in the department to provide written input or reviews on behalf of the lecturer. Such input shall be provided to the lecturer prior to the submission deadline for the Self-Assessment. The lecturer may or may not choose to submit this material with his/her Self-Assessment.

   B. Lecturer submits his/her Self-Assessment (Appendix D), a copy of his/her appointment letter, and any other evaluative material to his/her department chair no later than 30 days after the last day of the employment as shown in the appointment letter.

   C. The department chair shall provide a written Evaluation (Appendix E) to the lecturer no later than 45 days after the last day of employment as shown in the appointment letter.

   D. The lecturer may respond to or rebut the department chair’s Evaluation and shall provide the written response or rebuttal to the department chair no later than 60 days after the last day of employment as shown in the appointment letter.

   E. The department chair shall forward all evaluation material for the lecturer and any rebuttals or responses to the Human Resources Office for inclusion into the lecturer’s Personnel Action File no later than 65 days after the last day of employment as shown in the appointment letter.
APPENDIX A

LECTURER’S SELF-ASSESSMENT (Optional)

May be completed and submitted by full-time and part-time lecturers with one-year or three-year appointments.

Deadline for submission to the Department Chair: No later than the first faculty work day after February 14th

Name____________________________________   Department___________________________

Date submitted to Department Chair: ____________________ Dept. Chair initials __________

Evaluation Period: Spring __________ (year);   Cruise ___________ (year);   Fall ___________ (year)

1. Describe your work assignments, as stipulated in your appointment letter. (Attach a copy of your appointment letter to this document.)

   Spring semester

   Cruise (if applicable)

   Fall semester

2. Describe your effectiveness in fulfilling these requirements.

3. Please feel free to add other comments or provide additional information.
DEPARTMENT CHAIR’S EVALUATION
of full-time and part-time lecturers with one-year or three-year appointments

Deadline for submission to the Lecturer and the Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator: No later than the first faculty work day after February 28th

Department Chair _______________________________        Department___________________________
Lecturer Evaluated ________________________________

Evaluation Period: Spring __________ (year);   Cruise ___________ (year);   Fall ___________ (year)
Time Base: Spring __________ (FT or PT);  Cruise ___________ (FT or PT);   Fall ___________ (FT or PT)

1. Describe the lecturer’s work assignments as stipulated in the appointment letter.
   Spring semester

   Cruise (if applicable)

   Fall semester

2. This evaluation is based on the following sources of information for the evaluation period:
   (check all that apply)

   ______ Student evaluations   ______ Classroom visits   _______ Peer input

   ______ Evaluation of course material   ______ Lecturer’s Self-Assessment

   ______ Personnel Action File (PAF)   ______ Other – please specify:
APPENDIX B (CONT’D)

3. Give your evaluation of the lecturer’s effectiveness in fulfilling his or work assignments.
   _____ Excellent       _____ Good       _____ Satisfactory       _____ Unsatisfactory
   (Comments are required)

4. Please feel free to add other comments or provide additional information.

   ____________________________  __________________________
   Department Chair signature           Date

   ____________________________  __________________________
   Lecturer signature             Date
   I have read the evaluation. My signature indicates neither agreement nor disagreement with the statements made.

   _______ I concur with the evaluation made by the Department Chair
   _______ I do not concur with the evaluation made by the Department Chair and have provided a written attachment.

   ____________________________  __________________________
   Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator           Date
   Deadline for submission to the lecturer is no later than the first faculty work day after March 17th
APPENDIX C

DEPARTMENT PEER REVIEW COMMITTEE’S EVALUATION
of full-time lecturers with one-year or three-year appointments

Deadline for submission to the Lecturer and the Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator: No later than the first faculty work day after February 28th

Lecturer Evaluated _________________________________ Department______________________

Dept. Peer Review Committee __________________________________________________________

Evaluation Period: Spring __________ (year); Cruise ___________ (year); Fall ___________ (year)

1. Describe the lecturer’s work assignments as stipulated in the appointment letter.

Spring semester

Cruise (if applicable)

Fall semester

1. This evaluation is based on the following sources of information for the evaluation period
(check all that apply)

______ Student evaluations  ______ Classroom visit  _______ Peer input

______ Evaluation of course material  ______ Lecturer’s Self-Assessment

______ Personnel Action File (PAF) ______ Other – please specify:
APPENDIX C (CONT’D)

2. Give your evaluation of the lecturer’s effectiveness in fulfilling his or her work assignments.

____ Excellent       ____ Good       ____ Satisfactory       ____ Unsatisfactory

(Comments are required)

3. Please feel free to add other comments or provide additional information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department Peer Review Committee signatures</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lecturer signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I have read the evaluation. My signature indicates neither agreement nor disagreement with the statements made.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I concur with the evaluation made by the Department Peer Review Committee</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I do not concur with the evaluation made by the Department Peer Review Committee and I have provided a written attachment.</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Dean or appropriate academic administrator</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deadline for submission to the lecturer is no later than the first faculty work day after March 17th
APPENDIX D

LECTURER’S SELF-ASSESSMENT (Optional)

May be completed and submitted by full-time and part-time lecturers with appointments for less than one year.

Deadline for submission to the Department Chair: No later than 30 after your last day of employment.

Name____________________________________   Department___________________________

Date submitted to Department Chair:______________       Dept. Chair initials ________

Evaluation Period: Spring __________ (year);   Cruise ___________ (year);   Fall ___________ (year)

1. Describe your work assignments, as stipulated in your appointment letter. (Attach a copy of your appointment letter to this document.)

   Spring semester

   Cruise (if applicable)

   Fall semester

2. Describe your effectiveness in fulfilling your work assignments.

3. Please feel free to add other comments or provide additional information.
DEPARTMENT CHAIR’S EVALUATION
of full-time and part-time lecturers with appointments for less than one year

Deadline for submission to the Lecturer: No later than 45 days after the last day of employment.

Department Chair ______________________________     Department____________________________
Lecturer Evaluated __________________________________
Evaluation Period: Spring __________ (year);    Cruise ___________ (year);    Fall ___________ (year)
Time Base: Spring __________ (FT or PT);  Cruise ___________ (FT or PT);   Fall ___________ (FT or PT)

1. Describe the lecturer’s work assignments as stipulated in the appointment letter.

   Spring semester

   Cruise (if applicable)

   Fall semester

2. This evaluation is based on the following sources of information for the evaluation period:
   (check all that apply)

   _____ Student evaluations   _____ Classroom visit   _____ Peer input

   _____ Evaluation of course material   _____ Lecturer’s Self-Assessment

   _____ Personnel Action File (PAF)   _____ Other – please specify:
3. Give your evaluation of the lecturer’s effectiveness in fulfilling his or her assignments.

   _____ Excellent      _____ Good     _____ Satisfactory      _____ Unsatisfactory

   (Comments are required)

4. Please feel free to add other comments or provide additional information.

   __________________________________________  __________________________
   Department Chair signature           Date

   __________________________________________  __________________________
   Lecturer signature             Date

   I have read the evaluation. My signature indicates neither agreement nor disagreement with the statements made.