The meeting was called to order by Chief Roseann Richard at 11:38 am.

Present: Roseann Richard, Isidro Farias, Bob Brown, Deborah Bauer, Marco Siliezar, Ana Spinola
Not Present: Dinesh Pinisetty, Kevin Sweeney, Brian Jewkes

Old Business:

I. 03/27/14 Minutes

Bob motioned to approve the minutes. Marcos seconded the motion. The motion carried. The 3/27/14 Minutes are published on this web page: www.csum.edu/web/police-services/parking-committee.

II. Status of Fall 2013 Parking Proposals Sent to the President for Approval

The President approved all proposals with one edit: The President approved one (1) electric vehicle charging station space for now, with the request that the committee submit a recommendation for the 2nd space at a later date when the need arises.

III. Tech Center Loading Zones – Update

After a site visit, it was noted that the 30-minute loading zone space will need to be moved back toward the roll-up door. To do this, the first step is to amend the prior proposal; therefore, Bob made the following motion:

Change the location of the proposed 30-minute loading zone space to be in front of the roll-up door of the Tech Center loading dock area.

Deborah seconded the motion. The motion carried (Yes: 6; No: 0).

New Business:

I. Welcome new member, Isidro Farias

1 The space will be relocated to where the black SUV is parked in the photo 1 on page 3 of this document.
2 Prior proposal reads, Designate one 30-minute loading zone space adjacent to the electric vehicle charging station space in the Tech Center loading dock area.
The committee welcomed Isidro Farias, Director of Facilities Planning. Isidro arrived at Cal Maritime two months ago. He worked at Cal Berkeley for 1.5 years on housing, dining, and residential projects. Isidro is a licensed architect and enjoys creating his own drawings.

II. Recognitions

The committee recognized Brian (Corps) and Kevin (ASCMA) for their one year of service. They were not present this meeting; however, they both have been present for key votes last semester. We appreciate their service.

Dinesh (Academic Senate) and Marco (Provost / Academic Affairs) have agreed to continue on the committee for the next year. Marco will serve one more year; Dinesh will serve two more years.

III. Electric Charging Stations

The campus does not yet have a full strategy plan to deal with electric vehicle (ev) stations. Roseann and Bob drove the campus. So far:

- Employees (but not the public) have been utilizing the Tech Center charging station.
- Lot ‘C’ already has demand and unofficial plug-in usage.
- The campus will be rehabbing Lot ‘A’ this summer; we have an opportunity to work with the existing electrical to prep and / or install a new charging station in this lot.

After discussion, Bob made the following motion:

- **Implement 2 – 3 additional\(^3\) ev charging stations on campus this 2014 – 2015 fiscal year in conjunction with current renovations and demand; demand dictates Lot ‘A’ as the first priority and Lot ‘C’ as the second.**
- **Maintain the same lot usage as the lot signage indicates.**\(^4\)

*Marco seconded the motion. The motion carried (Yes: 6; No: 0).*

IV. Evening / Weekend Parking Permits

Roseann explained the ‘Parking Pay Stations’ handout. The last increase to the ‘Daily Permit Rates’ category went into effect on July 1, 2013. The category, ‘Evening and Weekend Rates’, has not received an increase in the past few years. Discussion centered on whether or not the committee should increase fees in the ‘Evening and Weekend Rates’ category in order to absorb additional Ventek pay station fees. Per the handout and current pay station revenue trends described by

---

\(^3\) In addition to the previously approved ev charging station in new P.E. Facility.

\(^4\) Vehicles using charging stations would need to abide by lot signage; no privileged parking (special treatment) allowed in an ev charging space if vehicle does not have the appropriate permit access for the lot. Example: Lot ‘A’ signage requires the display of an employee, guest, or conference permit during normal business hours (M – F, 7a – 5p); therefore, the same permit requirements apply to any vehicle parking in an ev charging space in Lot ‘A’ during normal business hours.
Roseann, it did not appear that increasing these fees meant any significant increase to revenue, and an increase may upset pay station patrons enough to not buy these evening and weekend permits.

After further discussion, Isidro made the following motion:

_Keep evening and weekend parking permit rates as they are; revisit the option of increasing these rates in Fiscal Year 2015._

_Marco seconded the motion. The motion carried (Yes: 6; No: 0)._  

**Adjournment:**

The meeting adjourned at 12:16 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,
Ana Spinola