



ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

OCTOBER 10, 2017  
FACULTY CONFERENCE ROOM

The meeting was called to order by Tom Nordenholz at 11:05 am.

**Present:** Tom Nordenholz (Chair), Alex Parker (Vice-Chair), Khalid Bachkar, Cynthia Trevisan, Steve Browne, Brent Pohlmann, Keir Moorhead, Dinesh Pinisetty (Secretary)

**Guests:** None

**Absent:** None

The meeting started off approving the minutes of the first General Senate Meeting that was on September 19, 2017.

**Announcements**

The senate chair will be reaching out to all faculty for help, in case, they or their family members are affected by the fires. The help may be from professional perspective to cover up their classes or conduct exams (or) from personal perspective to provide accommodation for few days.

**ME Department Situation Follow-up**

The senate chair provided a follow-up on the ME department situation. It was notified to the executive committee that senate chair had a meeting with Provost and did not receive support on the matter.

The executive committee also decided to invite academic dean to get his perspective on the matter and encourage collegial relations between faculty and the administration.

**Licensed Faculty Follow-up**

Keir Moorhead had a very good conversation with the Provost regarding the situation of licensed faculty at CSUM and provided the updates from that meeting.

The Provost clearly suggested that the current tenure track faculty will be continued on the Cruise calendar unlike the general perception that cruise calendar will be completely eliminated.

The current MOU is signed for a 65-day cruise. It was notified to the Provost that, by being on one 65-

day cruise the faculty get only 4.5 weeks off-time unlike 8 weeks off-time with two cruises.

The Provost supported the idea of attending tradeshow (or) conferences for recruitment of the licensed faculty.

### **Administrative Review Policy**

The last update on the administrative review was on Mar 27, 2014. The executive committee has decided to update this policy and have a formal review process established before the three school-dean searches are wrapped up.

It was also discussed if an adhoc committee can be established to prepare appropriate questions for the evaluation of administrators. However, no decision has been made at this point.